

ABOUT THE JOURNAL.....	1	HOW TO SUBMIT	7
ARTICLE TYPES.....	1	PUBLICATION CHARGES	8
PREPARATION OF ARTICLES	2	EDITORIAL POLICIES.....	8
POST-ACCEPTANCE.....	7	FURTHER INFORMATION	11

ABOUT THE JOURNAL

Aims and Scope

Horticulture Research publishes original research articles and reviews on novel discoveries focusing on all major horticultural crops, including fruits, vegetables, ornamental trees and flowers, herbs, and tea trees, both in preharvest and postharvest stages.

The research primarily focuses on basic and fundamental aspects with broad international and disciplinary interests, including, but are not limited to, genetics, breeding, all types of “-omics” and evolution, origination and domestication of horticultural crops, biotechnology, biochemistry, physiology, cellular and molecular biology, and environmental biology including interactions with other organisms.

Original research articles will be published in the following headings:

- Genetics, breeding and germplasm;
- Biotechnology and genetic engineering;
- Genomics, proteomics and evolution;
- Whole plant physiology and source/sink relation;
- Cellular and molecular developmental biology;
- Biochemistry, metabolome and product quality;

- Interactions with environments and other biological organisms.

Editorial Note

Research focuses on methodologies and techniques will be placed in the category of novel methodology and technology.

In addition, the journal will publish News and Views on current, significant events and topics in global horticultural fields with international interests.

Journal Details

Editor-in-Chief:

Zong-Ming (Max) Cheng
Joint Professor at Nanjing Agricultural University and University of Tennessee

Editorial office:

A305, Administrative Building
Nanjing Agricultural University
1 Weigang Rd, Nanjing, Jiangsu Province, China
hortres@njau.edu.cn

ARTICLE TYPES

Article Description	Abstract	Word Limit	Tables/ Figures	References guideline
Article A complete, comprehensive report of original research. An Article usually has a fairly complex narrative that is based on multiple techniques and/or approaches.	Unstructured abstract; max word limit: 250	6,000 words excluding abstract, references, figures and tables	Max of 6	Max of 50 . Please use as current as possible.
Comment Comments are an analysis of recently published papers of particular interest. This is a commission-only section.	None	1,500 words excluding references	Max of 2	Max of 15

<p>Correspondence The Editors will occasionally consider the publication of correspondence developing the debate relating to a particular journal article that has already been published in the journal. These would usually be published alongside a reply from the authors of the original article.</p>	None	800 words excluding, references, figures and tables	None	Max of 10, not including reference to the original article.
<p>Editorial An authoritative timely opinion on an issue or a paper; it is usually written or invited by the Editor-in-Chief.</p>	None	1,000 words excluding references	Max of 2	Max of 15
<p>News & Views These articles inform non-specialist readers about new scientific advances, as reported in recently published papers (in <i>Horticulture Research</i> and elsewhere). This is a commission-only section.</p>	None	800 words excluding, references, figures and tables	Max of 2	Max of 15
<p>Perspective Perspective is a format for scholarly reviews and discussions of the primary research literature that are too technical for a Commentary but do not meet the criteria for a Review – either because the scope is too narrow, or because the author is advocating a controversial position or a speculative hypothesis or discussing work primarily from one group. Two reviews advocating opposite sides in a research controversy are normally published as Perspectives.</p>	None	3,000 words max including, references, figures and tables	Max of 4	Max of 70
<p>Review Article A comprehensive synthesis and/or analysis of specific topics. A short Introduction giving the rationale for the review should be followed by sections with appropriate subheadings, followed by a conclusions section at the end. The standard footer headings (Acknowledgements, Contributions, Competing Interests, Funding) are required. All invited reviews will undergo peer review prior to acceptance.</p>	Unstructured abstract; max word limit: 250	6,000 words excluding abstract, references, figures and tables	Max of 8	Max of 100

Word limit

Word limits are provided for guidance only. The Editors will consider submissions that exceed the recommended limit, subject to feedback received during peer review.

PREPARATION OF ARTICLES

Please note that Articles must contain the following components in the order stated. Please see below for further details.

- Title page
- Abstract
- Introduction
- Results
- Discussion
- Materials and methods
- Acknowledgements
- Conflict of interests
- Contributions
- References

- Figure legends
- Tables
- Figures

Article Requirements

Cover letter

Each manuscript must be accompanied by a cover letter including statements that:

- Highlight of the current manuscript should be limited to no more than 2 short sentences;
- All authors agree with the submission;

- The work has not been published or submitted for publication elsewhere, either completely or in part, or in another form or language;
- If material has been reproduced from another source, the authors have authorization from the copyright holder (usually the Publisher) to use it, and have included this authorization with their submission;
- Conflict of Interest Statement

Organization of manuscript

For first submissions (i.e. not revised manuscripts), authors may choose to incorporate the manuscript text and figures into a single file (Microsoft Word or TeX/LaTeX) up to 30 MB in size – the figures may be inserted within the text at the appropriate positions. Article should include continuous line number. Supplementary Information should be combined and supplied as a separate file, preferably in Word format.

Alternatively authors can follow the guidelines outlined below, which must be followed when submitting files for revisions.

All textual content should be provided in a single file, prepared using either Microsoft Word or TeX/LaTeX; figures should be provided in individual files. The manuscript text file should include the following parts, in order: a title page with author affiliations and contact information (the corresponding author should be identified with an asterisk); the sections required for each content type (see information for different content types) then References, Acknowledgements (optional), Author Contributions (Articles only), Competing Financial Interests statement, Figure Legends and Tables. Footnotes to the text are not allowed and any such material should be incorporated into the text as parenthetical matter.

(i) Title page

The title page should include a succinct title (less than 200 characters); a concise running title (which should normally not exceed 50 characters); the full names of all authors including their given names; the affiliations (including city, state, country and zip code) of all authors; the official email addresses of all authors, and the full contact details of the corresponding author (including telephone and fax numbers). Please use organization email account as official author email addresses (at least the secondary email address), such as xx@njau.edu.cn, xx@ars.usda.gov. If you don't have it, please write a note in title page.

(ii) Abstract

A brief abstract (maximum 250 words) should state the purpose, basic procedures, main findings and principal conclusions of the study. The abstract should not contain abbreviations or references and should not be structured.

(iii) Introduction

The Introduction should summarize the rationale for the study and outline pertinent background material. The

Introduction should not contain either results or conclusions.

(iv) Results

The Results should be presented in a logical sequence in the text, tables and figures; repetitive presentation of the same data in different forms should be avoided. The Results should not include material appropriate to the Discussion.

(v) Discussion

The Discussion should not reiterate Results, but rather should consider them in relation to any hypotheses advanced in the Introduction. This may include an evaluation of methodology and the relationship of new information to the existing body of knowledge in that field.

(vi) Materials and methods

Materials and Methods should be described in sufficient detail to allow the experimental work to be reproduced in another laboratory, and to leave the reader in no doubt as to how the results were derived.

Availability of data, materials and methods: An inherent principle of publication is that others should be able to replicate and build upon the authors' published claims. A condition of publication is that authors [are required to make materials, data, code](#), and associated protocols promptly available to readers without undue qualifications.

Submission of a manuscript to *Horticulture Research* implies that materials described in the manuscript, including all relevant raw data, will be freely available to any scientist wishing to use them for non-commercial purposes, without breaching participant confidentiality.

Data availability statements: Data availability statements provide a statement about where data supporting the results reported in a published article can be found - including, where applicable, hyperlinks to publicly archived datasets analysed or generated during the study. For all original research articles, we require the provision of data availability statements, examples and details can be seen on our [data policy](#) web page. The statement should be placed at the end of the Methods section (titled, 'Data availability'), after the code availability statement if one is present. For further guidance, please refer to the Data availability and data citations [policy information](#) and [Frequently Asked Questions \(FAQs\)](#).

Horticulture Research strongly encourages that all datasets on which the conclusions of the paper rely should be available to readers. We encourage authors to ensure that their datasets are either deposited in publicly available repositories (where available and appropriate) or presented in the main manuscript or additional supporting files whenever possible. Please see Springer Nature's [information on recommended repositories](#). General repositories - for all types of research data - such as [figshare](#) and [Dryad](#) may be used where appropriate.

Where a widely established research community expectation for data archiving in public repositories exists,

submission to a community-endorsed, public repository is [mandatory](#). Persistent identifiers (such as DOIs and accession numbers) for relevant datasets must be provided in the paper.

(vii) Acknowledgments

Authors should acknowledge the source of financial grants and other funding, and declare any industrial links or affiliations. The contribution of colleagues or institutions should also be acknowledged. Personal thanks and thanks to anonymous reviewers should not be included.

(viii) Conflict of interests

A conflict of interest statement must be included for each contributing author. Please see the Conflict of Interest guidelines in the Editorial Policies section for more information and for guidelines on what constitutes a conflict of interest.

(ix) References

References: All necessary references should be included in order to credit previous work directly relevant to the article. References should follow the *Nature* style available in most reference management software. In the text they should appear as superscript numbers starting at 1 and at the end of the paper they should be listed (double-spaced) in numerical order corresponding to the order of citation in the text. Where a reference is to appear next to a number in the text, for example, following an equation, chemical formula or biological acronym, citations should be written as (ref. X) and not as superscript. Example: "detectable levels of endogenous Bcl-2 (ref. 3), as confirmed by western blot."

All authors should be listed for papers with up to five authors; for papers with more than five authors, the first only should be listed, followed by *et al.* Abbreviations for titles of medical periodicals should conform to those used in the latest edition of Index Medicus. The first and last page numbers for each reference should be provided. Abstracts must be identified as such. Papers in press and preprints hosted on a recognized server may be included in the list of references.

Personal communications must be allocated a number and included in the list of references in the usual way or simply referred to in the text; the authors may choose which method to use. In either case authors must obtain permission from the individual concerned to quote his/her unpublished work.

Examples:

Journal article, up to five authors:

Belkaid, Y. & Rouse, B. T. Natural regulatory T cells in infectious disease. *Nat. Immunol.* **6**, 353–360 (2005).

Journal article, e-pub ahead of print:

Bonin, M. *et al.* F-ara-A pharmacokinetics during reduced-intensity conditioning therapy with fludarabine and busulfan. *Bone Marrow Transplant.* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/sj.bmt.1705565> (2007).

Journal article, in press:

Gallardo, R. L., Juneja, H. S. & Gardner, F. H. Normal human marrow stromal cells induce clonal growth of human malignant T-lymphoblasts. *Int. J. Cell Cloning* (in the press).

Complete book:

Atkinson, K. *et al.* (eds) *Clinical Bone Marrow and Blood Stem Cell Transplantation* (Cambridge Univ. Press, 2004).

Chapter in book:

Harley, N. H. & Vivian, L. in *Mechanisms of Disease* 4th edn, Vol. 2 (eds Sodeman, W. A. & Smith, A.) Ch. 3 (Saunders, 1974).

Abstract:

Feig, S. A. *et al.* Bone marrow transplantation for neuroblastoma. *Exp. Hematol.* **13**, abstr. 102 (1985).

Preprint:

Starrfelt, J. & Liow, L.H. How many dinosaur species were there? Fossil bias and true richness estimated using a Poisson sampling model (TRiPS). Preprint at <http://biorxiv.org/content/early/2015/12/04/025940> (2015).

Research dataset:

Hao, Z., AghaKouchak, A., Nakhjiri, N. & Farahmand, A. Global Integrated Drought Monitoring and Prediction System (GIDMaPS) Data sets. figshare. <http://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.853801> (2014).

(x) Figures

Figures and images should be labelled sequentially, numbered and cited in the text. Production-quality figures are not required at initial submission, but to avoid potential substantial revisions at later stages you may wish to note some of the guidelines below even at the initial submission stage.

It is recommended that you convert all your figures to JPEG before generating PDFs or uploading individual files. This will reduce the file sizes and the amount of time it takes the files to upload to our submission site and will also give you a closer approximation to the way your figures will appear on our site. If you choose to submit your files in PowerPoint format, please do not make a JPEG of these within PowerPoint. The conversion is more successful when a raw PowerPoint file is submitted.

General Figure Guidelines

Use distinct colours with comparable visibility and consider colour-blind individuals by avoiding the use of red and green for contrast. Recoloring primary data, such as fluorescence images, to colour-safe combinations such as green and magenta, turquoise and red, yellow and blue or other accessible colour palettes is strongly encouraged. Use of the rainbow colour scale should be avoided. Use solid colour for filling objects and avoid hatch patterns. Avoid background shading. Figures divided into parts should be labelled with a lower-case, boldface 'a', 'b', etc. in the top

left-hand corner. Labelling of axes, keys and so on should be in 'sentence case' (first word capitalized only) with no full stop. Units must have a space between the number and the unit, and follow the nomenclature common to your field. Commas should be used to separate thousands. Unusual units or abbreviations should be spelled out in full, or defined in the legend.

Final Figure Submission Guidelines

Should your manuscript be accepted, you will receive more extensive instructions for final submission of display items. However, a summary of our guidelines for final figure preparation are included here.

- Each figure should be saved in a separate file. Figures including multiple parts (e.g. Fig. 1a, 1b, 1c) should be saved in a single file (e.g. Figure1a-c). The figure number should be placed above each figure. Figure legends should be inserted in the article's text file.
- Images should be saved in RGB color mode at 300 dpi or higher resolution.
- Use the same typeface (Arial, Helvetica or Times New Roman) for all figures. Use symbol font for Greek letters.
- We prefer vector files with editable layers. Acceptable formats are: .ai, .eps, .pdf, .ps, .svg for fully editable vector-based art; layered .psd or .tiff for editable layered art; .psd, .tif, .jpeg or .png for bitmap images; .ppt if fully editable and without styling effects; ChemDraw (.cdx) for chemical structures.
- Figures are best prepared at the size you would expect them to appear in print. At this size, the optimum font size is 8pt and no lines should be thinner than 0.25 pt (0.09 mm).

Display items that contain chemical structures should be produced using ChemDraw or a similar program. Authors using ChemDraw should use our ChemDraw Template and submit the final files at 100% as .cdx files. All chemical compounds must be assigned a bold, Arabic numeral in the order in which the compounds are presented in the manuscript text.

(xi) Tables

Tables should be labelled sequentially as Table 1, Table 2, etc. Each table should be numbered, titled and cited in the text. Reference to table footnotes should be made by using Arabic numerals. Tables should not duplicate the content of the text. They should consist of at least two columns, and each column should have a heading. Authors should ensure that the data in the tables are consistent with those cited in the relevant places in the text, totals add up correctly, and percentages have been calculated correctly. Unlike figures or images, tables may be embedded into the main manuscript file if necessary, or supplied as separate electronic files.

If a table or figure has been published before, the authors must obtain written permission to reproduce the material in both print and electronic formats from the copyright owner and submit it with the manuscript. This also applies to quotes, illustrations and other materials taken from

previously published works not in the public domain. The original source should be cited in the figure caption or table footnote.

Artwork Guidelines

Color figures

Color figures must be supplied in the following format.

For Single Images:

Width	500 pixels (authors should select "constrain proportions", or equivalent instructions, to allow the application to set the correct height automatically.)
Resolution	125 dpi (dots per inch)
Format	JPEG for photographs GIF for line drawings or charts
Filenaming	Please save image with .jpg or .gif extension to ensure it can be read by all platforms and graphics packages.

For Multi-part Images:

Width	900 pixels (authors should select "constrain proportions", or equivalent instructions, to allow the application to set the correct height automatically.)
Resolution	125 dpi (dots per inch)
Format	JPEG for photographs GIF for line drawings or charts
Filenaming	Please save image with .jpg or .gif extension to ensure it can be read by all platforms and graphics packages.

Black and white images

- Image resolution of at least 300 dpi at publication size
- Images should be scanned at a minimum of 300 dpi
- During software manipulation of images, care should be taken that resolution is maintained
- Images may be rotated or scaled, but this must be the same in the x and y dimensions
- Contrast and brightness can be adjusted, but this must be uniform across the entire image, and must not result in the loss of any feature, band or spot. The background should still be visible
- If lanes are removed, and once separate parts of an image are joined together, a black, white or grey line should indicate clearly where the image was cut
- If black borders are drawn around the image, the lines should correspond to all edges where the image was cut

- Protein molecular weights or DNA fragment sizes should be indicated for all figure panels showing gel electrophoresis

Graphs, Histograms and Statistics

- Error bars must be described in the figure legend
- Axes on graphs should extend to zero, except for log axes
- Statistical analyses (including error bars and p values) should only be shown for independently repeated experiments, and must not be shown for replicates of a single experiment
- The number of times an experiment was repeated (N) must be stated in the legend

House Style

As the electronic submission will provide the basic material for typesetting, it is important that papers are prepared in the general editorial style of the journal.

1. Do not make rules thinner than 1 pt (0.36 mm)
2. Use a coarse hatching pattern rather than shading for tints in graphs
3. Color should be distinct when being used as an identifying tool
4. Use SI units throughout
5. Abbreviations should be preceded by the words for which they stand in the first instance of use and should not be used for terms used fewer than 4 times
6. Text should be double spacing with a wide margin
7. Use a common word-processing package (such as Microsoft Word) for the text. Embed tables converted into images at the end of the Word document, or as a separate file in whichever program you used to generate them
8. If you submit raw data, this can be done in Excel, or tab/comma delimited format
9. At first mention of a manufacturer the town, (state if USA) and country should be provided.
10. All pages and lines are to be numbered. To add page numbers in MS Word, go to Insert then Page Numbers. To add line numbers go to File, Page Setup, then click the Layout tab. In the Apply to box, select Whole document, click Line Numbers then select the Add line numbering check box, followed by Continuous.

File Formats

File formats for manuscript files, figures and tables that are acceptable for our electronic manuscript submission process are given on the online forms. Further advice on file types is also available from the [Tips](#) webpage. Please follow the artwork guidelines above for submitting figures, and use a common word-processing package (such as Microsoft Word) for the text. Either embed tables converted into images at the end of your Word document, or as a separate file in whichever program you used to generate them. If you

submit raw data, this can be done in Excel, or tab/comma delimited format.

Supplementary information

Supplementary information is peer-reviewed material directly relevant to the conclusions of an article that cannot be included in the printed version owing to space or format constraints. It is posted on the journal's website and linked to the article when the article is published and may consist of data files, graphics, movies or extensive tables. The article must be complete and self-explanatory without the supplementary information. Supplementary information enhances a reader's understanding of the manuscript but is not essential to that understanding. Supplementary information must be supplied to the Editorial Office in its final form for peer review. On acceptance the final version of the peer reviewed supplementary information should be submitted with the accepted manuscript.

To ensure that the contents of the supplementary information files can be viewed by the editor(s), referees and readers, please also submit a 'read-me' file containing brief instructions on how to use the file.

Supplying supplementary information files

Authors should ensure that supplementary information is supplied in its FINAL format because it is not subedited and will appear online exactly as originally submitted. It can neither be altered, nor added to, after the paper has been accepted for publication.

Please supply the supplementary information via eJP, the electronic manuscript submission and tracking system, in an acceptable file format (see below).

Authors should:

- Include a text summary (no more than 50 words) to describe the contents of each file.
- Identify the types of files (file formats) submitted.
- Include the text 'Supplementary information accompanies the manuscript on the *Horticulture Research* website <http://www.nature.com/hortres> at the end of the article and before the references.

Accepted file formats

- Quick Time files (.mov)
- HTML files (.html)
- MPEG movie files (.mpg)
- JPEG image files (.jpg)
- Sound files (.wav)
- Plain ASCII text (.txt)
- Acrobat files (.pdf)
- MS Word documents (.doc)
- Postscript files (.ps)
- MS Excel spreadsheet documents (.xls)
- TeX or LaTeX (.tex)

File sizes must be as small as possible, so that they can be downloaded quickly. Images should not exceed 640 x 480 pixels (approximately 23 x 17 cm at 72dpi), and we would recommend 480 x 360 pixels as the maximum frame size for movies. We also recommend a frame rate of 15 frames per second. If applicable to the presentation of the supplementary information, use a 256-color palette. Please consider the use of lower specification for all of these points if the supplementary information can still be represented clearly. Our recommended maximum data rate is 150 KB/s. The number of files should be limited to eight, and the total file size should not exceed 8 MB. Individual files should not exceed 1 MB. Please seek advice from the Editorial Office before sending files larger than our maximum size to avoid delays in publication.

Language Editing

For editors and reviewers to accurately assess the work presented in your manuscript you need to ensure the English language is of sufficient quality to be understood. If you need help with writing in English, you should consider:

- Asking a colleague who is a native English speaker to review your manuscript for clarity.
- Using a professional language editing service where editors will improve the English to ensure that your meaning is clear and identify problems that require your review. Two such services are provided by our affiliates [Nature Research Editing Service](#) and [American Journal Experts](#).

Please note that the use of a language editing service is not a requirement for publication in this journal and does not imply or guarantee that the article will be selected for peer review or accepted.

POST-ACCEPTANCE

Once a manuscript is accepted, the corresponding author must complete and sign a Creative Commons licence and Article Processing Charge Payment form on behalf of all authors and return it to the editorial office. Failure to promptly return the form will result in delay of publication.

Publication

Publishing Open Access will mean the paper is freely accessible online immediately upon publication. By paying this charge authors are permitted to post the final, published PDF of their article on a website, institutional repository or other free public server, immediately on publication.

Open access articles are published under a [CC BY](#) licence (Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International Licence). The CC BY licence is preferred by many research funding bodies. It allows for maximum dissemination and re-use of open access materials: users are free to share (copy, distribute and transmit) and remix (adapt) the contribution including for commercial purposes, providing they attribute the contribution in the manner specified by the author or licensor (read the [full](#) legal code).

Under Creative Commons licences, authors retain copyright in their work. Authors should note that some funders require papers to be published under a specific

licence and so should check the funder mandate to ensure compliance.

Proofs

The corresponding author will receive an e-mail containing a URL linking to the proofing site. Proof corrections must be returned within 48 hours of receipt. Failure to do so may result in delayed publication. Extensive changes cannot be made at this stage.

Final Publication

The final version of the manuscript is published online and represents the official version of the manuscript.

Self-Archiving

Authors are encouraged to submit the final version of the accepted, peer-reviewed manuscript to their funding body's archive for public release immediately upon publication and to deposit the final version on their institution's repository. Authors should cite the publication reference and DOI number on any deposited version, and provide a link from it to the published article on the nature.com website.

This policy complements the policies of the US National Institutes of Health, the Wellcome Trust and other research funding bodies around the world. Springer Nature recognises the efforts of funding bodies to increase access of the research they fund, and strongly encourages authors to participate in such efforts.

HOW TO SUBMIT

Pre-submission Enquiries

Please submit via our [online manuscript submission system](#) or via e-mail to hortres@njau.edu.cn

Online Submission

We only accept manuscript submission via our online manuscript submission system. Before submitting a manuscript, authors are encouraged to consult both our

Editorial Policies and the Submission Instructions for our online manuscript submission system. If you have not already done so, please register for an account with our online manuscript system. You will be able to monitor the status of your manuscript online throughout the editorial process.

Submission of Revisions

Authors submitting a revised manuscript after review are asked to include the following:

(1) A rebuttal letter, indicating point-by-point how you have addressed the comments raised by the reviewers.

If you disagree with any of the points raised, please provide adequate justification in your letter.

- (2) A marked-up version of the manuscript that highlights changes made in response to the reviewers' comments in order to aid the Editors and reviewers.
- (3) A 'clean' (non-highlighted) version of the manuscript.

PUBLICATION CHARGES

Open access article processing charges (APCs) and license to publish (LTP)

Horticulture Research levies an Article-Processing Charges (APC) per article accepted for publication. A payment form will need to be completed alongside the Licence to Publish form via our online portals.

Please note in regards to payment that usual credit terms are 30 days from receipt of invoice. Failure to pay your invoice within the stated credit term may result in such penalties as restrictions on your ability to publish with Springer Nature or in the Journal in the future, involvement of a third-party debt collection agency and legal proceedings.

Article Processing Charge Waiver policy

Horticulture Research offers [APC waivers](#) for papers whose corresponding authors are based the world's lowest income countries as defined by the World Bank. Discretionary APC waivers for authors will be considered on a case-by-case basis, and may be granted in cases of financial need. All applications for discretionary APC

waivers should be made at the point of manuscript submission. To request a waiver please contact apcwaivers@springernature.com. Full details of our APC waiver and discount policies can be found [here](#).

All decisions to publish are based entirely on editorial criteria and the editors and reviewers will not have access to the information on the author's ability to pay the Article Processing Charge. Springer Nature is a partner of AGORA, HINARI, INASP - you can find more information here: <http://www.nature.com/info/partners.html>.

Visit our [open research](#) site for further information about licenses, APCs, and our free OA funding support service:

- [About Creative Commons licensing](#)
- [Creative Commons license options and article processing charges \(APCs\) for *Horticulture Research*](#)
- [APC payment FAQs](#)
- [Help in identifying funding for APCs](#)
- [APC waiver policy](#)
- [Compliance with funding body requirements](#)

EDITORIAL POLICIES

Submission to the *Horticulture Research* implies that all authors have seen and approved the full manuscript. Corresponding authors of accepted papers will be required to sign a License to Publish form on behalf of all authors (see below).

The *Horticulture Research* levies an article-processing charge (APC) for all submissions that are accepted for publication. Upon acceptance, it is mandatory that authors fill out and send back the payment form along with their license to publish form. Upon publication, the article is universally and freely accessible to all via the Internet, in an easily readable format. Further details are outlined below in the Open Access and Charges section.

To avoid unnecessary delays in the review process, please consider the following policies carefully before you submit your manuscript.

Authorship

Requirements for all categories of articles largely conform to the standard practices of life sciences journals. A manuscript will be considered for publication with the understanding that:

1. all named authors have agreed to its submission

2. it is not currently being considered for publication by another journal
3. if the paper is accepted, it will not subsequently be published in the same or similar form in any language without the consent of publisher

Each author must have contributed sufficiently to the intellectual content of the submission. The corresponding author should list all authors and their contributions to the work. Any changes to the author list after submission, such as a change in the order of the authors, or the deletion or addition of authors, must be approved by a signed letter from every author. The corresponding author must confirm that he or she has had full access to the data in the study and final responsibility for the decision to submit for publication. To qualify as a contributing author, one must meet all of the following criteria:

1. Conceived and/or designed the work that led to the submission, acquired data, and/or played an important role in interpreting the results
2. Drafted or revised the manuscript
3. Approved the final version

Other individuals who made direct contributions to the work but do not meet all of the above criteria may be

recognized in the Acknowledgments section of the manuscript.

Professional writers and industry employees can be contributors. Their roles, affiliations, and potential conflicts of interest should be included in the author list or noted in the Acknowledgments and/or Contributors section concurrent with their contribution to the work submitted. Signed statements from any medical writers or editors declaring that they have given permission to be named as an author, as a contributor, or in the Acknowledgments section is also required. Failure to acknowledge these contributors can be considered inappropriate, which conflicts with the editorial policy of the *Horticulture Research*.

Plagiarism and fabrication

Plagiarism is when an author attempts to pass off someone else's work as his or her own. Duplicate publication, sometimes called self-plagiarism, occurs when an author reuses substantial parts of his or her own published work without providing the appropriate references. Plagiarism without dishonest intent is relatively frequent, for example, when an author reuses parts of an introduction from an earlier paper. Because of some confusion as to the appropriate use of other authors' writing, we offer the following guideline in addition to the normal principles regarding plagiarism: if more than 5 consecutive words are taken verbatim from the text of another publication (including the authors' own work), this fact should be indicated by the use of inverted commas, as well as citation of the original source. It is not appropriate to make trivial changes to the wording instead. This rule can be relaxed slightly for descriptions of methodology from the authors' own papers, or for common phrases. If plagiarism is found, the journal will contact the author and, in some cases, the author's institute and funding agencies. The paper containing the plagiarism will be marked on each page of the PDF, and depending on the extent of the plagiarism, the paper may be formally retracted. CrossCheck is a multi-publisher initiative to screen published and submitted content for originality. *Horticulture Research* uses CrossCheck to detect instances of overlapping and similar text in submitted manuscripts. To find out more about CrossCheck visit www.crossref.org/crosscheck.html

Duplicate publication

Material submitted to the journal must be original and not published or submitted for publication elsewhere in any language. This policy applies to material submitted elsewhere while the contribution to *Horticulture Research* is under consideration.

Authors submitting a manuscript should notify the editor(s) if part of their contribution has appeared or will appear elsewhere, or if any related material is under consideration or in press elsewhere.

If a submission contains a figure that is published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author must provide documentation that the previous publisher or copyright holder has given permission for the figure to be re-published. The editors consider all material in good faith, and assume that the journal has full permission to publish every part of the submitted material, including illustrations.

Permissions

If a table or figure has been published before, the authors must obtain written permission to reproduce the material in electronic format from the copyright owner and submit it with the manuscript. This follows for illustrations and other materials taken from previously published works not in the public domain. The original source should be cited in the figure caption or table footnote. Permission to reproduce material can usually be obtained through the [Copyright Clearance Center](#).

Clinical Trials

As defined by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), a clinical trial is any research project that prospectively assigns human subjects to intervention and comparison groups to study the cause-and-effect relationship between a medical intervention and a health outcome. A medical intervention is any intervention used to modify a health outcome and includes but is not limited to drugs, surgical procedures, devices, behavioural treatments, and process-of-care changes. A trial must have at least one prospectively assigned concurrent control or comparison group in order to trigger the requirement for registration. Nonrandomised trials are not exempt from the registration requirement if they meet the above criteria.

When reporting experiments on human subjects, please indicate whether the procedures were in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible committee on human experimentation (institutional or regional) or with the Helsinki Declaration of 1975 (as revised in 1983). Include Institutional Review Board or Animal Care and Use Committee approvals.

All clinical trials must be registered in a public registry prior to submission. The journal follows the trials registration policy of the ICMJE (www.icmje.org) and considers only trials that have been appropriately registered before submission, regardless of when the trial closed to enrolment. Acceptable registries must meet the following ICMJE requirements:

- be publicly available, searchable, and open to all prospective registrants
- have a validation mechanism for registration data
- be managed by a not-for-profit organization

The trial registry number for eligible papers will be collected during the submission process.

Toolkits

Springer Nature endorses the toolkits and guidelines produced by the following bodies:

[Committee on Publication Ethics](#)
[Good Publication Practice](#)
[Medical Publishing Insights and Practices Initiative](#)

Competing Interests

In the interests of transparency and to help readers form their own judgments of potential bias, authors must declare whether or not there are any competing interests in relation to the work described. This information must be included in their cover letter and after the acknowledgements of their manuscript. In cases where the authors declare a competing interest, a statement to that effect is published as part of the article. If no such conflict exists, the statement will simply read that the authors have nothing to disclose.

For the purposes of this statement, competing interests are defined as those of a financial nature that, through their potential influence on behaviour or content, or from perception of such potential influences, could undermine the objectivity, integrity or perceived value of a publication. They can include any of the following:

- **Funding:** Research support (including salaries, equipment, supplies, reimbursement for attending symposia, and other expenses) by organizations that may gain or lose financially through this publication. The role of the funding body in the design of the study, collection and analysis of data and decision to publish should be stated.
- **Employment:** Recent (while engaged in the research project), present or anticipated employment by any organization that may gain or lose financially through this publication.
- **Personal financial interests:** Stocks or shares in companies that may gain or lose financially through publication; consultation fees or other forms of remuneration from organizations that may gain or lose financially; patents or patent applications whose value may be affected by publication.

It is difficult to specify a threshold at which a financial interest becomes significant, but note that many US universities require faculty members to disclose interests exceeding \$10,000 or 5% equity in a company. Any such figure is arbitrary, so we offer as one possible practical alternative guideline: "Declare all interests that could embarrass you were they to become publicly known after your work was published." We do not consider diversified mutual funds or investment trusts to constitute a competing financial interest.

The statement must contain an explicit and unambiguous statement describing any potential conflict of interest, or lack thereof, for any of the authors as it relates to the subject of the report. Examples include "Dr. Smith receives compensation as a consultant for XYZ Company," "Dr. Jones and Dr. Smith have financial holdings in ABC Company," or "Dr. Jones owns a patent on the diagnostic device described in this report." These statements acknowledging or denying conflicts of interest must be included in the manuscript under the heading Conflict of Interest. The Conflict of Interest disclosure appears in the cover letter, in the manuscript submission process and before the References section in the manuscript.

Following the Competing Interests heading, there must be a listing for each author, detailing the professional services relevant to the submission. Neither the precise amount

received from each entity nor the aggregate income from these sources needs to be provided. Professional services include any activities for which the individual is, has been, or will be compensated with cash, royalties, fees, stock or stock options in exchange for work performed, advice or counsel provided, or for other services related to the author's professional knowledge and skills. This would include, but not necessarily be limited to, the identification of organizations from which the author received contracts or in which he or she holds an equity stake if professional services were provided in conjunction with the transaction.

Examples of declarations are:

- **Conflict of Interest.**
The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- **Conflict of Interest.**
Dr Caron's work has been funded by the NIH. He has received compensation as a member of the scientific advisory board of Acadia Pharmaceutical and owns stock in the company. He also has consulted for Lundbeck and received compensation. Dr Rothman and Dr Jensen declare no potential conflict of interest.

Pre- and Post-Submissions

Authors are welcome to post pre-submission versions or the original submitted version of the manuscript on a personal blog, a collaborative wiki or a recognized preprint server (such as [arXiv](#) and [bioRxiv](#)) at any time.

For content published under a Creative Commons licence, authors can replace the submitted version with the final published version at publication as long as a publication reference and URL to the published version on the journal website are provided.

Electronic manipulation of images

Digital image enhancement is acceptable practice, although it can result in the presentation of unrepresentative data as well as in the loss of meaningful signals. During manipulation of images a positive relationship between the original data and the resulting electronic image must be maintained. If a figure has been subjected to significant electronic manipulation, the specific nature of the enhancements must be noted in the figure legend or in the 'Materials and methods' section. The editors reserve the right to request original versions of figures from the authors of a paper under consideration.

Supplementary information for editors and reviewers

Any manuscripts under review or accepted for publication elsewhere should accompany the submission if they are relevant to its scientific assessment.

Authors should also provide upon submission any kind of supplementary material that will aid the review process.

Peer Review

The journal operates single blind peer review. Manuscripts sent out for peer review are evaluated by at least one independent reviewer (often two or more). Authors are welcome to suggest independent reviewers to evaluate their manuscript, as well as request individuals or laboratories.

All recommendations are considered, but the choice of reviewers is at the editors' discretion. To expedite the review process, only papers that seem most likely to meet editorial criteria are sent for external review. Papers judged by the editors to be of insufficient general interest or otherwise inappropriate are rejected promptly without external review. The editors then make a decision based on the reviewers' evaluations:

- Accept, with or without editorial revisions.
- Revise, with the author addressing concerns raised by the reviewers before a final decision is reached.
- Reject, but indicate to the authors that further work might justify a resubmission.
- Reject outright, typically on grounds of specialist interest, lack of novelty, insufficient conceptual advance or major technical and/or interpretational problems.

Selecting Peer Reviewers

Reviewer selection is critical to the publication process, and the editors' choice is based on many factors, including expertise, reputation, and specific recommendations. A reviewer may decline the invitation to evaluate a manuscript where there is a perceived conflict of interest (financial or otherwise).

Appeals

Even in cases where editors did not invite resubmission, some authors ask the editors to reconsider a rejection decision. These are considered appeals, which, by policy, must take second place to the normal workload. In practice, this means that decisions on appeals often take several weeks. Only one appeal is permitted for each manuscript, and appeals can only take place after peer review.

Decisions are reversed on appeal only if the editors are convinced that the original decision was a serious mistake, not merely a borderline call that could have gone either way. Further consideration may be merited if a referee made substantial errors of fact or showed evidence of bias, but only if a reversal of that referee's opinion would have changed the original decision. Similarly, disputes on factual issues need not be resolved unless they were critical to the outcome. Thus, after careful consideration of the authors' points, most appeals are rejected by the editors.

If an appeal merits further consideration, the editors may send the authors' response or the revised paper to one or more referees, or they may ask one referee to comment on the concerns raised by another referee. On occasion, particularly if the editors feel that additional technical expertise is needed to make a decision, they may obtain advice from an additional referee.

Correction and retraction policy

We recognize our responsibility to correct errors. Content published online is final and cannot be amended. The online version is part of the published record; therefore the original version must be preserved and changes to the paper should be made as a formal correction. If an error is noticed after online publication an HTML (or full-text) version of the correction will be created and linked to the original article. Please note the following policy for making corrections to online peer-reviewed content:

- **Publisher Correction.** Notification of an important error made by the journal that affects the publication record or the scientific integrity of the paper, or the reputation of the authors, or of the journal.
- **Author Correction.** Notification of an important error made by the author(s) that affects the publication record or the scientific integrity of the paper, or the reputation of the authors or the journal.
- **Retraction.** Notification of invalid results. All co-authors must sign a retraction specifying the error and stating briefly how the conclusions are affected.

Decisions about corrections are made by the Editor (sometimes with advice of peer reviewers) and this sometimes involves author consultation. Requests to make corrections that do not affect the paper in a significant way or impair the reader's understanding of the contribution (a spelling mistake or grammatical error, for example) are not considered. In cases where co-authors disagree about a correction, the Editor will take advice from independent peer reviewers and impose the appropriate correction, noting the dissenting author(s) in the text of the published version.

FURTHER INFORMATION

For inquiries related to submission requirements, please contact the [Editorial Office](#). For inquiries related to advertising, subscriptions, permissions, papers in production or publishing a supplement, please contact the [Publisher's Office](#).